UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,237	02/02/2004	Daniel Cuende Alonso	2807-1-001	9483	
KLAUBER & J	7590 06/22/200 [ACKSON	EXAMINER			
4th Fl.		SAID, MANSOUR M			
411 Hackensacl Hackensack, NJ	111,01100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence		Α	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		1	0/770,237		ALONSO, DANIEL CUENDE			
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit			
		М	ANSOUR M. SAID		2629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appear	s on the cover she	eet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMM). In no event, however, n pply and will expire SIX (6 se the application to beco	IUNICATION may a reply be tim b) MONTHS from to me ABANDONED	lely filed he mailing date of this coorsists (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>23 Marc</i>	h 2009					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This action is non-final.							
' —		<i>7</i> —		matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
٥,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☑ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or el	ection requiremen	ıt.				
	on Papers		·					
	•	o Evaminar						
•	The specification is objected to by the		Tassantad or b\	7 objected to	by the Evenine			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 20		•	=	-	1.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje			-				
44) 🖂 :	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (er No(s)/Mail Da ce of Informal Pa r:				
•	· · · ——		, 					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "locating means (14)" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,237 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hampton et al. (6,252,522; hereinafter referred to as Hampton).

Hampton teaches a_system for automatically locating visibility zones from which an element to be viewed is visible (figure 1 and column 3, lines 15-30), wherein it comprises at least a computer application provided with locating means that enable to locate said potential and effective visibility zones by means of a set of visibility zone locating criteria (figures 1-3, column 1, lines 45-67 and column 6, lines 45) comprising: at least one item of data about the an element to be viewed selected from location morphology, orientation and combinations thereof, with which the potential visibility zone locating means automatically locate at least on potential visibility zone assigned to the element to be viewed and at least one visibility study region mapped and stored on a computer medium with which, as function of the said potential visibility zone (figures 1-3, column 1, lines 45-67, column 2, lines 59-67, column 3, lines 1-30, column 4, lines 1-53, column 5, lines 1-67 and column 6, lines 1-45), the effective visibility zone locating means automatically locate effective visibility selected from effective visibility areas, effective visibility axes and combinations thereof, from which the element to be viewed is visible (figures

Art Unit: 2629

1-3, column 1, lines 45-67, column 2, lines 59-67, column 3, lines 1-30, column 4, lines 1-53, column 5, lines 1-67 and column 6, lines 1-45).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kirchner, III et al. (6,064,318) teaches an automated data and processing of information in real time.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mansour M. Said whose telephone number is 571-272-7679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30-6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to

Application/Control Number: 10/770,237

Art Unit: 2629

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe

Page 5

whose telephone number is 571-272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the

Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/MANSOUR M SAID/

Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Richard Hjerpe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629